

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

KIP SIDES,

Plaintiff,

v.

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 15-cv-03893-HSG


ORDER

Re: Dkt. No. 156

Pending before the Court is “Plaintiff’s Motion for a Case Management Conference to Discuss Extending Time to Reply to File Response/Reply to Docket Number 155.” Dkt. No. 156. The Court **DENIES** Plaintiff’s motion, finding no good cause shown for extending the briefing schedule in this case by more than five months as Plaintiff requests. The Court **ORDERS** Defendants to produce the administrative record¹ to Plaintiff on or before Friday, July 6, 2018. To the extent the parties can agree on a targeted and reasonable extension justified by specific facts, *see* Dkt. No. 156 at 3 (“The Defendants were willing to jointly stipulate more time”), the Court will consider such a stipulated request.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 7/2/2018


HAYWOOD S. GILLIAM, JR.
United States District Judge

¹ The “administrative record consists of the papers the insurer had when it denied the claim.” *Montour v. Hartford Life & Acc. Ins. Co.*, 588 F.3d 623, 632 n.4 (9th Cir. 2009).